T_i



UNITED STATES THENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

s: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

Α	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/481,12	0 01/11/	00 DELK		М	1780/OF908	
			QM12/1107	\neg		EXAMINER .	
	DARBY & D					SIRMONS,K	

DARBY & DARBY 805 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10022

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3763

DATE MAILED:

11/07/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/481,120	DELK ET AL.					
Office Action Summ	nary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kevin C. Sirmons	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication	tion(s) filed on <u>11 J</u>	anuary 2000 .	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT		5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	045 a. A.	41 0	Dad of Danse No. 5					

* * *

Application/Control Number: 09/481,120

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 12, it is unclear what is meant by or the like. "Or the like" is considered to be indefinite.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the same compartment". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shipp U.S. Pat. No. 6,162,194.

Shipp discloses a surgical irrigator the improvement comprising an optical flow sensor positioned near the outlet of the pumping unit (98, 102, 158, 170);

Application/Control Number: 09/481,120

Art Unit: 3763

and means responsive to said optical flow sensor for turning said motor on to drive said impeller (claims 1 and 12); as to claim 5, (cols. 1 and 2 for batteries and a switch)

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Humphreys, Jr. et al U.S. Pat. No. 6,176,847.

A surgical irrigator the improvement comprising (figs. 1-3); as to claim 9, (Fig. 2 and col. 4, lines 18-38).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humphreys and Kamen U.S. Pat. No. 5,222,946 and further in view of Jepson U.S. Pat. No. 6,261,266.

Humphreys discloses a surgical irrigator substantially as claimed except for a spike connected to the other end of the flexible tube for connecting at one end to said inlet and said spike being coated with silicone. Kamen discloses a flexible tubing with a spike connected to the other end. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was make to modify the device of Humphreys with the spike and flexible tubing as taught by Kamen for increasing the length giving the patient care provider improved movement during surgery.

Application/Control Number: 09/481,120

Art Unit: 3763

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Humphreys to use silicone or other lubricants as taught by Jepson for increasing the ease of insertion of that member into the injection site.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703)306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Kevin C. Sirmons Patent Examiner

11/2/01

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Cingel D. Asps